



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

4372 7590 02/01/2006 EXAMINER ARENT FOX PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 ART UNIT PAPER N	ATION N	CONFIRM	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FILING DATE	N NO.	APPLICATION NO.
ARENT FOX PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 MERCADO, JULIAN A ART UNIT PAPER N	180	51	106145-00029	Masajirou Inouc	11/08/2001	11	09/986,311
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 ART UNIT PAPER N		EXAMINER			02/01/2006	7590	4372
SUITE 400 ART UNIT PAPER N		JULIAN A	MERCADO,		C	T FOX PLL	ARENT F
	NUMBER	PAPER N	ART UNIT		T AVENUE, N.W.		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1745		20036		

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/986,311	INOUE ET AL.	AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	1	
		Julian Mercado	1745		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	dress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 No.	ovember 2005.			
,		action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the	merits is	
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 7 is/are pending in the appl	ication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 7 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	n received in this National	Stage	
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.		
Attachmer		🗖 :			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

This Office action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed November 16, 2005. Claims 1-4, 6 and 7 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a deformation of "approximately 45 to 60%", does not reasonably provide enablement for a deformation of "60% or less" as presently recited in the claims, or "not more than 60%" as presently submitted in applicant's remarks. See page 7 of applicant's remarks, also refer to page 42 of the specification and Figures 4-5. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) based on Matsukawa et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,153,326) is deemed moot in view of the cancellation of this claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,311

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The rejection of claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on Steck et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,464,700) and Matsukawa et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,153,326) has been obviated.

The rejection of claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on Steck et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,464,700) and Matsukawa et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,153,326) is deemed moot in view of the cancellation of this claim.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Steck et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,464,700) in view of Matsukawa et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,153,326).

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, however they are not found persuasive for the following reasons.

Applicant submits that independent claim 1, drawn to a polymer electrolyte fuel cell, recites "the structural feature of the seal... to retain the gap formed between the corresponding separator and membrane electrode assembly upon sealing." (Remarks on page 7) The difference from the prior art that is then asserted is that Steck fails to teach or at least suggest the structural feature of the gap between the elements being retained upon sealing. However, this argument is not persuasive. Applicant's assertions are premised on the gap being a requisite part of the claimed fuel cell. At present, claim 1 merely recite that the "seal is formed by applying the

liquid thermosetting sealing agent into a gap..." (lines 5-6) Though the claim further that upon curing the gap is retained upon sealing (lines 16-18), the gap is not recited as being a requisite feature of the claimed fuel cell and instead is recited as part of a product-by-process limitation. For the reasons set forth in the prior Office action, a product-by-process feature is not given patentable weight insofar as the limitation does not give breadth or scope to the product claim. The examiner maintains that claimed product, at least upon completed assembly, appears to be the same or similar to the prior art product insofar as having a seal in a fuel cell between the separator and the membrane electrode assembly.

Arguments drawn to differences between thermoplastic and thermosetting resins are noted. Such arguments drawn to, e.g. the instant thermosetting sealing agent being cured over a period of 1 to 5 hours, are deemed to have merit for process claim 7 only (prior rejection directed thereto now withdrawn). As to the combination of Steck et al. and Matsukawa et al. involving the different resins, the examiner maintains that the skilled artisan would find obvious to employ the liquid thermosetting sealing agent of Matsukawa et al. in Steck et al.'s invention, motivation for the combination coming directly from Matsukawa et al., "[the] composite of the present invention may be used... preferably as a separator of a fuel cell (a solid polymer type fuel cell)". See Matsukawa et al. in col. 3 lines 1-4.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/986,311

Art Unit: 1745

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian Mercado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/986,311

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

